#### Interactive Pedagogy and Physics

Laura McCullough Physics Department University of Wisconsin-Stout


#### Overview

Current status of women in physics

Gender gaps in the physics classroom

Better pedagogy = more women?

### Women's Education



about half of all high school diplomas,

over half of all bachelor's degrees (57%),

Latin and a state



59% of master's degrees, and




45% of doctorates

What about physics?

Data from http://caspar.nsf.gov (NSF and NCES sources)

### **High School Physics**



# **High School Physics**


46% of high school physics students are female! (31% of students take HS physics)

But...

Girls are still less likely to be in the AP courses which are better preparation for college coursework

\*Neuschatz, Michael, and Mark McFarling. 2003. Broadening the Base: High School Physics at the Turn of New Century. College Park, MD: American Institute of Physics.

#### **Undergraduate** Physics



#### **Undergraduate** Physics


Women make up -31% of two-year college physics students\*

Among 25-year old college attendees who have had physics, 37% are female\*\*

Women receive 22% of physics bachelors degrees\*\*\*

\*Ivie, Rachel, and Katie Stowe. 2000. *Women in Physics, 2000*. College Park, MD: American Institute of Physics. \*\*Ivie, Rachel, and Kim Nies Ray. 2005. *Women in Physics, 2005*. College Park, MD: American Institute of Physics. \*\*\*Data from http://caspar.nsf.gov (NSF and NCES sources)

### Graduate Physics



### Graduate Physics

21% of first-year graduate students are women\*

Women receive 21% of master's degrees in physics\*\*

18% of physics doctorates go to women\*

\*Ivie, Rachel, and Kim Nies Ray. 2005. *Women in Physics, 2005*. College Park, MD: American Institute of Physics. \*\*Data from http://caspar.nsf.gov (NSF and NCES sources)

#### **Teaching positions**

29% of high school physics teachers are women\*

16% of adjunct/instructors in physics are women\*\*

16% of assistant professors in physics are women\*\*

11% of associate professors in physics are women\*\*

5% of full professors in physics are women\*\*

\*Neuschatz, Michael, and Mark McFarling. 2003. *Broadening the Base: High School Physics at the Turn of New Century*. College Park, MD: American Institute of Physics. \*\*Ivie, Rachel, and Kim Nies Ray. 2005. *Women in Physics*, 2005. College Park, MD: American Institute of Physics.

#### The Problem: Under-representation

Severe under-representation of women in physics


Need scientifically literate public and technological/ scientific workers

Need to be working to keep women

Need to be doing research on how to keep women

### Gender gap in the physics classroom

How do women fare in the physics classroom?



In high school, girls tend to get higher grades than boys



In high school science class, girls receive higher grades than boys

AAUW. (1992). How Schools Shortchange Girls. New York: Marlowe & Co. AAUW (1999). Gender Gaps. New York: Marlowe & Co.

### College grades

Women in college tend to earn higher grades than their male counterparts

Women's SAT/ACT scores tend to underpredict their college GPA

Mau, W-C. and Lynn, R. (2001). Gender differences on the SAT, the ACT, and college grades. *Educational Psychology* 21(2), 133-136. Leonard, D. and Jiang, J. (1999). Gender bias and the college predictions of the SATs. *Research in Higher Education* 40(4), 375-407.

# **College** physics

#### What about college physics?

	Women (N=526)	Men (N=1293)
А	15	24
В	4I	40
С	37	31
D	6	4
F	I.O	I.4

McCullough, L. & Crouch, C. H. (2001) "Gender, Educational Reform, and Instructional Assessment: Part I" AAPT talk Philadelphia, PA Winter Meeting 2002

### College physics grades

Women more likely to do better than men among students who had HS physics

In university-level (calculus-based) physics, women receive lower grades than men

Professor of same gender → higher grade in college physics course

Sadler, P. and Tai, R. (2001) Success in introductory college physics: The role of high school preparation. *Science Education*, 85(2), 111-136. Tai, R. and Sadler, P. (2001). Gender differences in introductory undergraduate physics performance; university physics versus college physics in the USA. *Int'l J. of Science Education*, 23(10), 1017-1037.


#### Conceptual testing

Many multiple-choice conceptual tests are available for introductory physics courses; how do women fare on these tests?

#### Force Concept Inventory

Women average lower scores on the FCI at all class levels; this gap does not seem to be dependent on previous physics background

From McCullough, L. & Crouch, C. H. (2001) "Gender, Educational Reform, and Instructional Assessment: Part I" AAPT talk Philadelphia, PA Winter Meeting 2002



# Test of Understanding Graphs-Kinematics

- 21 question test on kinematics graphs
- From the test author:
- 6
- Males averaged 9.5/21 (45%)
- G
- Females averaged 7.2/21 (34%)
- Statistically significant gap favoring males

Beichner, R. (1994) Testing student interpretation of kinematics graphs. Am. J. of Physics, 62(8), 750-762.

### DIRECT

The DIRECT conceptual test on direct current circuits shows a gender difference at the university and high school levels; both differences were found to be statistically significant

	University mean score	High school mean score
Men	16	13
Women	12	II

Engelhardt, P. and Beichner, B. (2004) Students' understanding of direct current resistive electrical circuits. *Am. J. of Physics*, 72(1), 98-115.

### DIRECT

Pretest gender gap favoring males



Learning-cycle vs. traditional teaching of DC circuits

Learning-cycle led to higher post-test scores No significant gender gap when accounting

for pretest

Ates, S. (2005). The effectiveness of the learning cycle method on teaching DC circuits to prospective female and male science teachers. Research in Science and Technological Education, 23(2), 213-227.

#### Gender gaps exist

Lower rates of participation of women in physics

Conceptual tests show gap favoring males

Physics classroom's effect on women?

### Pedagogy and women in physics

Belief that interactive/student-centered/ feminist/inquiry-based pedagogies are particularly helpful for women



What data is there to support this?

### Bad pedagogy

"Reports of poor teaching in S.M.E. classes were by far the most common complaint of all switchers and nonswitchers." Pedagogy was third-highest rated reason for leaving science

Science pedagogy and the classroom climate make science unappealing

Seymour, E., & Hewitt, N. (1997). *Talking about leaving: Why undergraduates leave the sciences*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press. Tobias, S. (1990). *Stalking the Second Tier*. Tucson, AZ: Research Corporation.

### Bad pedagogy

Science teachers less likely to use active learning techniques and cooperative learning; more likely to grade on curve

More senior faculty less likely to use cooperative learning; women more likely

Milem, J., & Astin, H. (1994). Scientists as teachers: A look at their culture, their roles, and their pedagogy. Paper presented at the NARST meeting, New Orleans, LA. Antony, J. & Boatsman, JC. (1994). Defining the teaching-learning function in terms of cooperative pedagogy. ASHE Annual Meeting Paper, Tucson, AZ.

### Good pedagogy?

Good pedagogy helping women? Little research to support this

Much discussion, many papers on how to change classrooms and teaching

### High school pedagogy

Deep and narrow pedagogy in high school helps achievement in college physics courses



No mention if it helps females more

Tai, R. and Sadler, P. (2001). Gender differences in introductory undergraduate physics performance; university physics versus college physics in the USA. *Int'l J. of Science Education*, 23(10), 1017-1037.

# Review of MS & HS curricula

# Review of 80 middle school and high school science reform curricula:



Most did not have comparison studies in literature



Inquiry-based approaches more effective for achievement



"Difficult to determine effect of reform curricula on gender"

Clewell, B.C. and Campbell, P. (2005). *What do we know?* The Urban Institute/GE Foundation Report. Available at: <u>http://www.campbell-kibler.com</u>/

#### Pedagogy to attract

What Works? project: More than one student cited an innovative teaching approach as a reason to major in physics

Grinnell College: Changing pedagogy in intro courses draws more women

Whitten, B., S. Foster, M. Duncombe, P. Allen, P. Heron, H. Zorn, L. McCullough, K. Shaw, B. Taylor. (2003) What Works? Increasing the Participation of Women in Undergraduate Physics. *J. of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, 9(3/4), 239-258.

Schneider, M. (2001). Encouragement of women physics majors at Grinnell College: A case study. *Phys. Teacher*, 39, 280-282.

#### Pedagogy to retain

Rutgers University: "Extended General Physics" course with more interactive pedagogy helps women stay in the course; 1% drop compared with 11% drop in regular course

"Individual differences between students far outweighed gender differences"

Etkina, E., K. Gibbons, B. L. Holton, G. K. Horton. (1999). Lessons learned: A case study of an integrated way of teaching introductory physics to at-risk students at Rutgers University. *Am. J. of Phys.*, 67(9), 810-818.

#### Pedagogy helping women?

Workshop Physics: Younger college women  $\rightarrow$  positive experience More senior college women  $\rightarrow$  more likely to feel negative about the interactive course structure

#### SCALE-UP:

Women failed a SCALE-UP course at one-fifth the rate of a traditional course

Laws, P., P. Rosborough, F. Poodry, (1999). Women's responses to an activity-based introductory physics program. *Am. J. of Phys.*, 67(7), S32-S37. Beichner, R., J. Saul. (2003). *Introduction to the SCALE-UP Project*. Paper submitted to the Proceedings of the International School of Physics, Varenna, Italy.

### Pedagogy for learning?

Preliminary UC-Davis study: Open-ended versus formulaic lab instructions

Degree of guidance did not differentially affect men and women

McKinnon, M. & Potter, W. (2005). Preliminary results of gender equity variations in a large active-learning introductory physics course due to laboratory activity instructions. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 790(1), 2004 Physics Education Research Conference.

### Pedagogy and attitude

Feminist pedagogy in physics classroom showed large positive changes in attitude (men and women)



"Almost significant" effects on student anxiety (reduction of anxiety)

Davis, F. & Steiger, A.(1993). *Feminist Pedagogy in the Physical Sciences*. Report to the Quebec Department of Higher Education and Science.

#### Hot off the press

FCI: Consistent pretest gender gap every year
Traditional pedagogy: post-test gender gap
First implementation IE course: reduced gender gap post-instruction

Second implementation IE course: gender gap post-instruction reduced to statistical insignificance

Lorenzo, M., C. Crouch, E. Mazur, (2006) Reducing the gender gap in the physics classroom. *Am. J. of Phys.*, 74(2), 118-122.

#### Lorenzo et al. continued

FCI normalized gain <g>

More interactive course increased gain for both men and women

Gender gap in <g> reduced to insignificance with more interactive course

#### Lorenzo et al. continued

Reduced gender gap attributed to pedagogical changes (pretest constant)

**G** 

"No observed loss of achievement among the male students."

### Pedagogy's effect

Little research available; suggestions that more interactive pedagogies help women in various ways



attitudes



recruitment and retention

interest



achievement

#### What else helps?

Exposure to science from a young age

Role models and mentors

Support structure

Supportive climate

#### Conclusions

Women still under-represented in physics Gender disparities in physics classrooms

Better pedagogy, while helping raise all students' achievement, may be particularly helpful to women; much more research needed