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# Why non-physics students? 

- Part of another study
- Lots of data on physics students
- What about non-physics students?


## The sample

- 150 students in English, sociology, and Lit classes (2/3 women)
- Voluntary, given brief intro (helping professor with research study)
- No name, demographic questions at end of FCI


# The Sample-Year in School 

- $46 \%$ were first-year students
- 29\% sophomores
- 10\% juniors
- $14 \%$ seniors
- Data gathered in Fall semester 2001


## Major programs

- Early Childhood Education
- Packaging
- Interior Design/Graphic Design
- Vocational Rehab.
- Construction Management
- Retail Management
- Business/Business Administration
- Graphic Communications Management
- Hotel \& Restaurant Management


## Background

- $59 \%$ had no previous physics ( $65 \%$ of women, $47 \%$ of men)
- 34\% had high school physics (34\% of women, $35 \%$ of men)
- $76 \%$ had algebra as highest math ( $81 \%$ of women, $63 \%$ of men)
- $21 \%$ had had calculus ( $16 \%$ of women, $31 \%$ of men)


## Further Background

- Average of 3.18 years HS science
- .89 semesters college science
- 3.5 years HS math (3 or 4 year schools)
- 1.3 semesters college math
- Few gender differences (requirements?)

General score on original FCI (1995)

|  | Number <br> $(N)$ | Avg. <br> percent <br> correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | 100 |  |
| Men | 51 | $31.7 \%$ |

## Comparison scores

 Average \% correct on FCI|  | Stout <br> non- <br> physics | Stout <br> physics <br> (pretest) | 8 schools <br> physics <br> (pretest) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | 20.6 <br> $(N=100)$ | 23.1 <br> $(\mathrm{~N}=27)$ | 35.7 <br> $(\mathrm{~N}=780)$ |
| Men | 31.7 <br> $(\mathrm{~N}=51)$ | 33.1 <br> $(\mathrm{~N}=146)$ | 50.3 <br> $(\mathrm{~N}=1994)$ |

## Distributions

|  | avg. \% <br> correct | standard <br> deviation | reliability <br> (KR-20) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | 20.6 | 8.3 | $.28(!)$ |
| Men | 31.7 | 12.9 | 0.65 |

Men usually have larger st dev on standardized tests;
more men at top and at bottom

## Number correct by \% of sex



## Particular

## misconceptions

- Predicted Newton's 3rd law would be among worst (questions \#15, \#16, \#28)
- Poorest response (less than 10\% of students with correct answer) on questions \#26 (double force pushing box), \#13 (forces on thrown ball), \#11 (forces on puck), \#20 (accelerations of blocks)
- "force in direction of motion" and "acceleration=velocity"


## Best responses

- Over $45 \%$ of students responded correctly on questions \#6 (56\% correct), \#7 (47\%), and \#24 (45\%)
- direction \& circular motion (ball leaves circular channel and ball breaks from string) and direction \& no-force motion (rocket with no thrust)


## Biggest gender differences

- Men outscored women on 18 questions by $>5 \%$;
- worst gap on questions \#14 (39\% vs. 6\% correct on of bowling ball falling from plane),
- \#12 ( $63 \%$ vs. $31 \%$ correct on of cannonball), and
- \#6 ( $75 \%$ vs. $46 \%$ correct on of ball exiting channel)
- Women outscored men on 1 question by $>5 \%$; worst gap on question \#5 ( $18 \%$ vs. $12 \%$ correct on forces in circular motion)


## Conclusions

- average college student at UW-Stout has little physics experience
- average score only slightly above guessing for men and at the level of a random score for women
- FCI is not reliable for non-physics women
- "force in the direction of motion" is strong misconception
- strong gender gap favoring males
- further study?


## Score and background

Physics background/average score on FCI by gender

|  | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No <br> previous <br> physics | $18.3 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ |
| HS <br> physics | $25.3 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ |

